

County Council

21 September 2016

Community Governance Review – Pelton Fell



Report of Colette Longbottom, Head of Legal and Democratic Services

Purpose of the Report

- 1 To update Council following publication of the draft recommendations as part of the Community Governance Review (Review) of Pelton Fell, and to make a final recommendation in this regard.

Background

- 2 On 23 September 2015, the County Council resolved to undertake a Community Governance Review following receipt of a valid petition from Pelton Fell Community Partnership (the Partnership), which sought for Pelton Fell to have an independent community council. For the avoidance of doubt, it was understood that the petitioners were seeking the establishment of a parish council to be known as a community council.
- 3 The County Council subsequently proposed two options for the future community governance arrangements in the Pelton Fell area:

Option 1

To implement changes to the current community governance arrangements in accordance with the petition submitted by the Partnership. This would see the unparished area of Pelton Fell, as shown on the map in Appendix 3, become parished and have its own community council.

Option 2

That the current community governance arrangements in the unparished area of Pelton Fell remain unchanged. This would mean that the changes proposed by the Partnership would not be implemented and there would be no change to community governance arrangements in the area.

The Law, Duties and Guidance

- 4 Under section 93 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007, a Principal Council must comply with various duties when undertaking a Community Governance Review, including:

- i. It must have regard to the need to secure that community governance within the area under review:
 - a. reflects the identities and interests of the community in that area;
 - b. is effective and convenient.
- ii. In deciding what recommendations to make, the Council must take into account any other arrangements, (apart from those relating to parishes and their institutions):
 - a) that have already been made, or
 - b) that could be made

for the purposes of community representation or community engagement in respect of the area under review.
- iii. The Council must take into account any representations received in connection with the review.

- 5 Under Section 100 of the Act, the Council must also have regard to guidance issued by the Secretary of State. In March 2010, the Department for Communities and Local Government and the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, published guidance on Reviews.
- 6 The guidance refers to a desire to help people create cohesive and economically vibrant local communities and states that an important aspect of this is allowing local people a say in the way their neighbourhoods are managed. The guidance does stress that parish councils are an established and valued form of neighbourhood democracy and management in rural areas that increasingly have a role to play in urban areas and generally have an important role to play in the development of their communities. The need for community cohesion is also stressed along with the Government's aim for communities to be capable of fulfilling their own potential and overcoming their own difficulties. The value which is placed upon these councils is also highlighted in the fact that the guidance states that the Government expects to see the creation of parishes and that the abolition of parishes should not be undertaken unless clearly justified and with clear and sustained local support for such action.
- 7 The guidance also states that the Council must have regard to the need to secure community governance within the area under review, reflects the identities of the community in the area and is effective and convenient.
- 8 The guidance acknowledges how people perceive where they live is significant in considering the identities and interests of local communities and depends on a range of circumstances, often best defined by local residents.
- 9 The Council must also take into account other arrangements that have been made and could be made for the purposes of community engagement and

they must consider the representations received in connection with the review.

- 10 Whilst the guidance is generally supportive of parish councils, it is not prescriptive and does not state that they should be routinely formed. Indeed in parts of the guidance, it stresses that the statutory duty is to take account of any representations received and gives the view that where a council has conducted a review following receipt of a petition, it will remain open to the council to make a recommendation which is different to the recommendation the petitioners wish the council to make. It also acknowledges that a recommendation to abolish or establish a parish council may negatively impact on community cohesion and that there is flexibility for councils 'not to feel forced' to recommend that the matters included in every petition must be implemented.

Consultation (First Stage)

- 11 The terms of reference for the Review were published on 23 September 2015, and a consultation exercise was undertaken in accordance with the agreed timetable.

Conclusions on First Stage of Consultation

- 12 The outcome of the first consultation in the Review was very finely balanced in nature and County Council at its meeting on 20 January 2016 agreed with the recommendation of the Constitution Working Group that a second period of consultation should be undertaken with householders in the area and the statutory consultees.
- 13 It was also resolved that the additional consultation should provide information about what a community council would look like if established, including its size, and the precept set for its first year. The information provided in Appendix 2 of the report was included in the consultation document and advised the community that based on the council tax base for 2016/17 a precept of £49.96 would be made for a Band D property, and that this would be re-calculated in-line with the 2017/18 council tax base once established.
- 14 The second stage consultation would offer two options in the Review:-
- Option 1:-** That the current community governance arrangements in the unparished area of Pelton Fell remain unchanged, and therefore no community council would be established.
- Option 2:-** That the current community governance arrangements in the unparished area of Pelton Fell are changed by parishing the area and establishing a community council.
- 15 Council also agreed that as a further period of consultation was required, the timetable for the Review should be revised accordingly.

Consultation (Second Stage)

- 16 This second stage consultation was undertaken for a period of six weeks from 20 January 2016 in accordance with the review timetable.

Conclusions on Second Stage of Consultation

- 17 A higher return from the second round of consultation, where further details were provided on what the council would look like if it were established, and of the level of precept that would be set for the council's first year of operation, was received. From the responses received on the Council produced form and in the pre-paid envelope supplied there is majority support for Option 1 - that there be no change to the current governance arrangements in the area.
- 18 On 13 April 2016 Council noted the decision and reasons of the Head of Legal and Democratic Services to exclude the consultation responses returned that were not on the original form or returned in the envelopes provided. Council decided that the second stage consultation be re-run so as to remove any doubt about the validity of responses received going forward.
- 19 Rules regarding what constituted a valid response to the consultation were established for the benefit of the Council and the consultees. It was agreed that only the Council produced form and prepaid envelope should be used and that any other response forms received by the Council including photocopied forms and handwritten envelopes would not be counted. This would be made clear through a covering letter that would be issued with the consultation form and a contact number would be provided for anyone who required a replacement form or envelope.
- 20 In light of the re-run of the second consultation, Council agreed to revise the review timetable.

Consultation (Re-run Second Stage)

- 21 This re-run of the second stage consultation was undertaken for a period of six weeks from 13 April 2016 in accordance with the revised Review timetable.

Conclusion on Re-Run Second Stage of Consultation

- 22 The outcome from the re-run of the second round of consultation, indicates that there is majority support for Option 1 - that there be no change to the current governance arrangements in the area.

Conclusion of Review

- 23 At the time that the first round of consultation was undertaken with relevant households it could be seen that from a limited return (110 responses from 900 households-12.22% from the total households) there was a marginal majority in favour of changing community governance arrangements in the

area (62 from 110 responses- 56.36%). However since additional information was provided at the second and re-run second round of consultation on what a community council would look like if it was established, including its size and the level of precept to be set for its first year, it can be seen that there is more interest from those that would be affected. From the response to the re-run consultation there has been an increase in the responses received with 204 responses from 893 households - 22.84% from the total households. The returns also show that there is now a majority in favour of leaving community governance in the area as it is (110 from 204 responses- 53.92%).

- 24 The majority of the residents who responded to the consultation have stated that they do not wish to see any changes to the current governance arrangements. The Council has a statutory duty to take account of any representations received and members may be concerned about imposing an arrangement that has little support (10.52% of the total households consulted) and more opposition (12.32% of the total households consulted) and the possible impact that could have on community cohesion.
- 25 The Council must also take into account when considering community governance for an area, other arrangements that provide community engagement. In the Pelton Fell area there is already another form of community governance in place, with the Pelton Fell Community Partnership already providing community engagement in the area.
- 26 On 22 June 2016 Council agreed with the recommendation of the Constitution Working Group that the current governance arrangements in Pelton Fell remain unchanged and that draft recommendations to this effect be published in accordance with the Review timetable.
- 27 A press release was issued and copies of the draft recommendation were provided to Pelton Fell Community Partnership, and the statutory consultees. Comments could be made on the draft recommendations until 31 August 2016. The only comment received was from Pelton Fell Community Partnership who in advising of their disappointment accepted that the results of the consultation meant that the Council could come to no other conclusion. They took some consolation from the fact that the council noted that the Partnership was already providing community engagement in the area, however they pointed out that it does not receive any rate funding as a community council would, and if their forms of current funding are not sustained then the activities at the centre would be restricted, or in a worst case scenario the centre would have to close.
- 28 The Constitution Working Group on 8 September 2016 noted the comment that had been received to the draft recommendation, and agreed to recommend to Council that the current governance arrangements in Pelton Fell remain unchanged and that final recommendations to this effect are published in accordance with the Review timetable.

Next Steps

- 29 In accordance with the review timetable, a final recommendation will be published on the Council's website after consideration by Council. The statutory stakeholders who have previously been consulted will be notified of the final recommendation, and a press release will be issued to this effect.

Recommendation and Reasons

- 30 Council is asked to agree that the current governance arrangements in Pelton Fell remain unchanged and that final recommendations to this effect are published in accordance with the Review timetable.

Background Papers

CLG and Local Government Boundary Commission for England Guidance on Community Governance Reviews
County Council Reports 23 September 2015, 20 January and 13 April and 22 June 2016

Contact: Ros Layfield, Cttee, Member & Civic Services Manager 03000 269 708
Clare Burrows, Governance Solicitor 03000 260 548

Appendix 1: Implications

Finance - If a community council was established the council will be involved in setting a precept for the first year that the council is in operation, after which the new Council would be eligible to set its own precept. These costs would be borne by the council tax payers in the Pelton Fell parish boundary.

Staffing – The work will impact considerably on staff time in the set-up of a community council.

Risk – None specific within this report

Equality and Diversity – None specific within this report

Accommodation – None specific within this report

Crime and Disorder – None specific within this report

Human Rights – None specific within this report

Consultation – See report

Procurement – None specific within this report

Disability Discrimination Act – None specific within this report

Legal Implications – A review will be undertaken in line with current legislation and Regulations.

Appendix 2: Information provided in the Second and Re-Run Second Stage of Consultation on the formation of a Community Council

Parish area/ Local Council

The unparished area of Pelton Fell as shown on the map would become parished and would be known as 'Pelton Fell Parish'.

An alternative style of local council would be formed in-line with the request from Pelton Fell Community Partnership for a community council. The newly formed parish of Pelton Fell would have its own community council which would be known as 'Pelton Fell Community Council'.

Warding

The area is split into 2 polling districts however due to the number of electorate and size of the area it is not considered necessary to ward the parish. The community council would therefore not be warded.

Size of Council

Taking into consideration the guidance referred to in paragraph 12 of the report, and local knowledge that across County Durham the size of local councils with a similar number of electorate to Pelton Fell vary considerably, a council size of 7 community councillors would be appropriate. There would be a ratio of 188 electorate to one councillor. Councillors appointed to the council would be known as 'community councillors'.

Electoral Arrangements

The ordinary year of election of community councillors would be 4 May 2017 which would be in line with the local, parish and town elections, and then every four years thereafter.

For administrative and financial purposes of the County Council collecting the new Council's precept would become a recognised legal entity in its own right on 1 April 2017.

Precept

The County Council will be required to set a precept to enable the community council to function during its first year.

The consultation document issued by the Authority to all households in the area, advised that any local council that was established would be able to charge a precept for the services it provided, and that the amounts set by local councils can vary considerably depending on the type of services its delivers. Some examples were given of precept charges per year for local councils in the area of Band D equivalent properties. A range of £20.66 to £102.44 was provided for illustrative purposes.

The Partnership also gave examples of precepts the community council may raise in their original consultation document.

These were for precepts raising 21k, which would be £50 equivalent for a Band D property, or £31.5k which would be £75 equivalent for a Band D property.

It is suggested that a nominal precept be set for its first year of operation amounting to £21k.

Based on the council tax base for 2016/17 a precept of £49.96 would be made for a Band D property. This would be re-calculated in-line with the 2017/18 council tax base once established.

Appendix 3: Current unparished area of Pelton Fell

